

# Tax Tips & Traps

## Tax Ticklers...some quick points to consider...

- CRA's **limited review of corporate tax return** projects have a **reassessment rate** of approximately **40%**. In the past few years, these projects have focused on areas such as **automobile expenses, professional fees, travel, and eligibility for the small business deduction.**
- **Many states** in the U.S. have recently enacted laws requiring **foreign sellers** making sales to customers within the state to **collect and remit sales tax, regardless** of whether the seller has a **physical presence**. To view a chart summarizing legislation of this type and their effective dates, go to: <https://www.salestaxinstitute.com/resources/remote-seller-nexus-chart>
- In May and June of 2019, the provincial courts of appeal in Ontario and Saskatchewan ruled that the **Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act** (the Carbon Tax) was **constitutional**. Ontario has indicated that leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada will be sought. Alberta is currently challenging it in the Provincial Court of Appeal.



## Special Work Site Allowance: Home Base Needed



In a January 29, 2019 **Technical Interpretation**, CRA discussed the criteria for **tax-exempt allowances for board and lodging** received for periods an employee was working at a **special work site**.

To be a special work site, the following criteria must be met:

- the work performed at the location must have been of a **temporary** nature;

- the employee must have maintained a **self-contained domestic establishment** (SCDE) elsewhere which was used as their principal place of residence;
- the SCDE must have been **available** to the employee throughout **the entire time** and not rented out to anyone else;
- the employee could **not** have been **expected to return daily** to the SCDE given the distance; and
- the work must have required the employee to be at the special work site for **at least 36 hours**.

### IN THIS ISSUE

#### Tax Ticklers

[Special Work Site Allowance](#)

[Reimbursement for Work Clothing](#)

[Zero-Emission Vehicles](#)

[Anxiety, Depression and Phobias](#)

[Workers Compensation](#)

[Demand for Client Information](#)

[Tracking of Ownership Information Changes](#)

In general, the employee must **own or lease** the SCDE and be **responsible for its maintenance**, alone or with other persons. However, a property that the employee neither owns nor leases may still be a SCDE if the employee pays expenses to, or for, the actual owner or tenant on a regular basis. Payments on a random or irregular basis in respect of the property would not be sufficient.

To exclude these allowances from income on the employee's T4 slip, **Form TD4 Declaration of Exemption – Employment at a Special Work Site** should be completed and retained with the payroll records in case CRA would like to review it at a later date. Both the employer and employee have sections to complete in the Form.

**ACTION ITEM:** *If planning to sell your residence because most of your time is spent at a special work site, note that your allowance may become taxable. To explore options, ensure to consult in advance.*

---

## Reimbursement for Work Clothing: Taxable

---

In an April 17, 2019 **Technical Interpretation**, CRA was asked whether **clothing reimbursements** paid to **maintenance employees** were taxable benefits. Employees were **not required** to wear **specific uniforms** and were **reimbursed** based on **receipts**, to an **annual maximum**.



CRA referred to **Guide T4130 Taxable Benefits and Allowances**, which states that **clothing** is generally a **personal expense**, except where **either** of the following applies:

- the employee is required to wear a **distinctive uniform** while carrying out their employment duties; or
- by law, the employee has to wear **protective clothing** on the work site.

In the situation reviewed, CRA indicated that reimbursements for **safety footwear** would **not** be **taxable**; however, **other reimbursements** to compensate for increased wear and tear on clothing would be **taxable**.

Similar to clothing reimbursements, an employer's direct provision of work clothing, that does not meet the criteria above, would be taxable.

According to CRA's current guidance, a discount on merchandise provided to employees would not generally result in a taxable benefit unless the price is reduced to below the employer's cost. In 2017, CRA had announced a change in its position such that discounts would be taxable as a result of a number of court decisions. However, due to public outcry, the Prime Minister subsequently announced that such discounts would not be taxable. CRA is currently reviewing their specific policy and has committed to provide guidance in light of the Prime Minister's comments in the future.

***ACTION ITEM: If providing work clothing, consider including distinctive visual markings such that it would be considered a uniform and therefore not subject to tax for the employee.***


---

## Zero-Emission Vehicles: Personal and Corporate Incentives

---

On April 17, 2019, Transport Canada released details on the **purchase incentive** of up to \$5,000 for **zero-emission vehicles** as **originally** proposed in the 2019 Budget.

The incentive will apply to **new purchases or leases** on or after **May 1, 2019**. To receive the incentive, the manufacturer's suggested retail price must be less than **\$45,000** for vehicles with **six or fewer seats**, while a vehicle with **seven or more seats** must have a suggested retail price of **less than \$55,000**. Higher priced versions (trims) up to \$55,000 (six or fewer seats) or \$60,000 (seven or more seats) will also qualify. Delivery, freight and other fees, such as vehicle color and add-on accessories, which push the actual purchase price over these limits will not result in the incentive being lost.



The **full \$5,000 incentive** will be available for eligible battery **electric**, hydrogen fuel cell, or longer range plug-in hybrid vehicles (battery capacity of 15 kWh or more), while **shorter range** plug-in hybrid **vehicles** will be eligible for a **\$2,500 incentive**.

**Leases** of **48 months or more** qualify for the **full incentive**, with reduced amounts available for shorter leases. It will be reduced to 75% for a minimum 36-month lease, 50% for a minimum 24-month lease, or 25% for a minimum 12-month lease.

The purchase incentive will be applied at the **point of sale** (i.e. at dealerships or online) directly on the bill of sale or lease agreement of eligible vehicles.

The **dealership** is **responsible** for **completing the documentation** required to receive the incentive. Claims can be **submitted** through the Transport Canada **online portal**. Funding will be provided on a first-come, first-serve basis.

**Individuals** can only get **one incentive per year**. **Businesses** (including NPOs and provincial, territorial and municipal governments) can get up to **ten incentives per year**.

As an **alternative** to receiving the cash incentive, a **temporary** enhanced first-year capital cost allowance (CCA) rate of 100% may be claimed by those using the vehicle for income earning purposes. Specific restrictions and conditions apply. The deduction may be restricted to the first \$55,000 in cost depending on the size, seating, and use of the vehicle.

**ACTION ITEM:** Review the Transport Canada website to determine if a future vehicle purchase would be eligible. The website includes a list of eligible vehicles and responses to frequently asked questions. <https://www.tc.gc.ca/en/services/road/innovative-technologies/zero-emission-vehicles.html>

---

### Anxiety, Depression and Phobias: Disability Tax Credit

---

In an April 3, 2019 **Tax Court of Canada** case, at issue was whether the impact of an individual's **mental impairment** entitled her to the disability tax credit (DTC).



The taxpayer suffered from **social anxiety, depression and phobias**.

A taxpayer may be eligible for the DTC if the impairment(s) represents a marked restriction in the ability to perform a basic activity of daily living. In particular, the impairment must affect and permeate the individual's life to the degree that they are **unable** to perform the **mental functions** that would enable them to **function independently** and with **reasonable competence**. This is to be examined in the context of:

- **memory;**
- **adaptive functioning;** and
- **problem-solving, goal-setting and judgment** (taken together).

Each of the three elements are to be considered separately.

#### Taxpayer wins

The Court found that although the taxpayer did not have trouble with memory, she did have a **marked restriction** with respect to the other **two elements**, either of which would qualify her for the DTC.

The Court noted that **adaptive functioning** was described in the explanatory notes to the legislation as abilities relating to **self-care, health and safety, social skills, and common simple transactions**. Also, the Court referred to a provincial government publication which described it as how well a person **handles common demands** in life and **how independent** they are compared to others of **similar age**. While the taxpayer was able to dress, bathe, feed herself, do her own laundry, manage her medications, and live on her own, these were all primarily done in a controlled environment (her apartment). In respect of **social skills** and **common simple transactions**, the taxpayer could **not work** except to a limited extent in the family business, **avoided social interactions** with all but family and close friends, and **remained in her apartment** as much as possible. She was **heavily reliant** on her mother for almost all external daily life functions. The Court determined that she had a **marked restriction** in respect of adaptive functioning.

In respect of the third element (**problem-solving, goal-setting and judgment**), it was noted that her anxiety resulted in what might be considered **poor judgment**. It led to **avoidance, procrastination and withdrawal** which in turn lead to **failing school, loss of employment, self-harm activities, reluctance to pursue therapy**, and taking on **too many projects**. While the Court noted that there was more uncertainty as to whether there was a marked restriction in respect of this element, it noted that the taxpayer should be given the **benefit of the doubt**, especially since mental illness can be invisible.

Since there was a marked restriction in at least one of the three elements, the Court determined that the taxpayer was **eligible for the DTC**.

**ACTION ITEM:** To determine if eligible for the DTC due to a mental condition, form T2201 should be reviewed with, and completed by, a medical doctor or psychologist.

---

### Workers Compensation: Replacement Payouts by Employer

---



In a March 29, 2019 **Tax Court of Canada** case, the taxpayer had been **injured on the job** and was held **eligible for workers' compensation (WC) payments** by

the relevant provincial authority. However, in accordance with the **collective agreement** setting out his terms of employment, he was **paid 100% of his salary by his employer** and, therefore, did not receive payments from the provincial WC authority. He argued that the **maximum provincial WC** should be included in income as **WC** and **not as employment income**. The distinction is important because an offsetting deduction is available for WC such that no tax must be paid.

### Taxpayer wins

The taxpayer's **eligibility** for the employer-paid compensation was **determined under provincial law**. As such, the Court found that the **maximum WC benefits**, 85% of his salary, were **properly considered WC** and, therefore, deductible from taxable income.

**ACTION ITEM:** *If receiving full salary even after being injured, consider whether some of it could be classified as WC and therefore tax-free, even if not received directly from the provincial WC authority.*

---

### Demand for Client Information: CRA's Abilities

---



CRA uses third **parties** to get **information** about **other taxpayers** to ensure they are complying with their tax responsibilities. This could include obtaining **information from a business** about its **employees, customers or suppliers**, **without** needing to list their **specific names**. CRA has recently announced that they intend to **continue and increase the use** of these information gathering methods. In order to obtain such information without providing specific names, CRA must first obtain the **authorization of a judge**.

In an April 24, 2019 **Federal Court** case, CRA applied for judicial **authorization** to **require the taxpayer, a roofing material supplier, to provide information** about **residential and commercial construction contractors** who had an account with them. Specifically, CRA requested:

- the **customers' legal name**, business or operating name, contact person, business address, postal code, and all telephone numbers on file;
- the customers' **business numbers**, if known;

- the customers' **itemized transaction details** including invoice date, invoice number, total sales amount, method of payment, and address of delivery; and
- **all bank account information** for the customer from credit applications and/or otherwise maintained by the taxpayer.

The information was sought for customers whose total annual purchase and/or billed amount was \$20,000 or greater for the period January 1, 2015 to June 30, 2018. For January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018, information on customers whose total annual purchase and/or billed amount was \$10,000 or greater was to be provided.

The Court **authorized the CRA** to impose the information request on the taxpayer.

**ACTION ITEM:** *CRA has indicated that they will make increased use of this ability to obtain information from one taxpayer about their customers. Consultation should be sought immediately if such a request is received.*

---

### Tracking of Ownership Information Changes: Canada Business Corporations Act

---

Over the past few years there has been much discussion at both the federal and provincial levels in respect of increased **disclosure and tracking** requirements of **beneficial owners** (those who may be considered owners even if not on title) of various types of property.



Recent changes to the **Canada Business Corporations Act**, which came into force on June 13, 2019, incorporated these discussions. The legislation sets out a criterion for **identifying individuals** who have **significant control** over a **corporation** and also requires certain corporations to keep a **register of these individuals**.

For these purposes an individual may have **significant control** over a corporation if the **individual** has any of the following interests or rights, or any combination of them, in respect of a **significant number of shares** (more than 25% of voting rights or value) of the corporation:

- the individual is the **registered holder**;

- the individual is the **beneficial owner**; or
- the individual **has direct or indirect control or direction over**.

A group of two or more individuals whose **joint holdings** meet these criteria are considered to be an individual with significant control.

Also, an individual who has any **direct or indirect influence** that, if exercised, would result in control in fact of the corporation, would be considered to have significant control. The legislation also provides that other prescribed situations may result in an individual having significant control.

**Directors, shareholders and creditors** of the corporation may, on application, be able to **access the register**.

**Failure to comply** with the requirements to maintain a registry may be subject to a **\$5,000 penalty**. A director or corporation who “**knowingly authorizes, permits or acquiesces**” in not fulfilling this requirement or who **provides false or misleading information** in the registry may be subject to a fine of up to **\$200,000** and/or **imprisonment of up to six months**.

Similar requirements are currently being considered or enacted in respect of corporations governed by various provincial corporations acts.

***ACTION ITEM: Consider whether your corporation is subject to this new requirement. If so, ensure that a proper register is being maintained.***

The preceding information is for educational purposes only. As it is impossible to include all situations, circumstances and exceptions in a newsletter such as this, a further review should be done by a qualified professional.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this newsletter, no individual or organization involved in either the preparation or distribution of this letter accepts any contractual, tortious, or any other form of liability for its contents.

For any questions please give us a call.

